vanced in age, (Mṣb, K, ) and (Mṣb) in a declining state (Ș, Mṣb) by reason of age : like (S..) [See also an explanation of more agreeable.with the first and second of the significations mentioned in this paragraph.]-And عَبَا اللَّهِلُ The night became intensely dark: (K.:) but غَسَا is more known [in this sense]. (TA.)
شَهْغ [Wax, or wax-candles]. (K.)

عَ Dates rhile green and small: (S:) said in the K , in art. عسى, and [before] by Hr as is stated in the handwriting of Aboo-Zekereeyà, to be correctly with $\dot{\varepsilon}$; but mentioned by Sb in the "Book of Palm-trees," and by AḤn in the "Book of Plants," as being with $\varepsilon$ and $\dot{\varepsilon}$. (TA.) - [Also, as stated by Freytag on the authority of Dmr , The female locust.]

> عِّوْةٍ Age; old age. (TA. [See 1.])
[part. n. of 1;] Thick, coarse, or rough. (TA.) $=$ And The fruit-stalk of the raceme of a palm-tree: (A'Obeyd, S, and TA in art. عسي:) of the dial. of Belhárith Ibn-Kaab. (TA.) And (TA) Palm-trees (نَنْلْ). (Ḳ, TA, both in art. عسى.)
[a pl. of which the sing. is not mentioned;] Hard [hollows, or cavities, in stone, or in rugyed ground, that retain the water of the rain, such as are termed] أرزان . (TA.)

## عسى

1. عَستى is [said by some to be] one of the verbs of appropinquation, implying eager desire, or hope, and fear, and not perfectly inflected, for it is applied in the form of the preterite to that which occurs in the present : one says عَستى زَيْت [meaning, accord. to what has been said above, Zeyd is near to going forth, though generally otherwise expl., as will be shown in what
 is near to going forth]; ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{j}$ being the agent of , عَسْى , and being its objective complement and meaning الـُرُورج: and one says also, [as meaning, accord. to what hcre precedes, $I$ am near to doing that $]$, and عَسيتُ, with kesr, agreeably with readings [in the Kur xlvii. 24], عَسْيَّهُ and with kesr and fet-h ; and one says to a woman, عَسْتِ أَن
 does not use the form يُنْ يْعْلُ thereof, nor the form
 tioned [as used] by the author of the "Inṣaf:" (I'Ak p. 88 :) [or, accorl. to Fei,] عَسَ is a preterite verb, [used in the sense of the present,] aplastic, not perfectly inflected, of the verbs of appropinquation, implying hope, and cager desire, and sometimes opinion, and cettainty ; and it is incomplete [i. e. non-attributive], and complete [i. e. attributive]: the incomplete has for its predicate an aor. mansoob by means of ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{I}$, as in the


القِيَامر [Zeyd is near to standing], the predicate being an objective complement or having the meaning of an objective complement: or, as some say, the meanirt is لَعَلَّ زَيْدًا أَنْ يُقُومَ, i.e. [virtually, but not literally,] I eagerly desire, or $I$ hope, that Zayd may be performing the act of standing: [but see عَلَّ and arلَّ art. as well as what follows in this paragraph after the explanation of the next ex.: :] the complete is such as occurs in the saying, عَسَي أَنْ يُقُومَزَيْتْ [meaning, accord. to what is said above, Zeyd's standing is near to being a fact]; the agent being literally a phrase composed of a subject and an attribute because is here what is termed

 \&c., ع́س is expl.as meaning It may be that; and this, or simply may-be, or may-hap, or perhaps, I regard as the preferable rendering; as being virtually the meaning in all cases: for , عَسَى زَيْنٌ أَنْ عَقُورً, in which it is used as an incomplete verb, however it may be rendered, virtually means It may be that Zeyd is, or will be, standing; or may-be Zeyd \&c.: and عَسْى أَنْ ,يَقُومَ زَيٌْ , in which it is used as a complete verb, virtually means the same, though more properly rendered Zeyd's standing may be a fuct: its usages are various, and have occasioned much dispute respecting its grammatical character and its meaning or meanings; as will be shown by what here follows:] - it is [said to be] a verb, unrestrictedly, or a particle unrestrictedly: (K :) [but this statement seems to have originated from a mistranscription: [Hsh says,] it is a verb unrestrictedly: not a particle unrestrictedly, contrary to the opinion of Ibn-Es-Sarráj and Th; nor when it has an affixed pronoun, as in عَسَأَ, contrary to an opinion of Sb , ascribed to him by Seer: (Mughnee:) it denotes hope in the case of that which is liked, and fear in the case of that which is disliked; as in the saying in the Kur
 [But it may be that ye dislike a thing when it is good for you, and it may be that ye like a thing when it is evil for you]: (Mughnee, $\mathrm{K}::^{*}$ ) - it is used in various ways; one of which is the saying, عَسَى زيْتٌ أَنْ يُقَومً [mentioned above], respecting the analysis of which there are different opinions: that of the generality is, that it is like كَانَ زيْدْ يُقُومُ [inasmuch as عسى is here an incomplete verb]; but this is deemed dubious, because the predicate [أَنْ يَقُومرَ] is rendered by an inf. n., and the subject $[$ [زَيٌْ $]$ [is a substance; to which several replies have been made; one being that a prefixed noun is meant to be understood, either before the subject, so that the meaning is, عَسَى أَمْر زَيٍْ التِبَامُ [It may be that the case of Zeyd is, or will be, the performing of the act of standing], or before the predicate, so that the meaning is عَسى زيّيْ [ It may be that Zeyd is, or nill be, the performer of the act of standing]; and another reply is, that it is of the class of زَيْ عَدْلٌ and
 equivalent to an inf. n., and an inf. n. may be used in the sense of an act. part. n.]; and another is, that is here redundant, which reply is [said to be] nought, because الن has rendered the aor. mansoob, and because it seldom falls out [from the phrase, though it should be remarked that , which is said in the Mughnee to be like عَسَى in meaning, is generally followed by a simple aor. and sometimes by أَن and an aor.]: another opinion respecting the analysis of the phrase is, that عَسْى is a trans. verb, like قَارَّ in meaning and in government, [agreeably with the explanations mentioned above from the $\mathbf{S}$ and Mṣb,] or intrans. like قَرُب مِنْ with the preposition suppressed; and this is the opinion of Sb and Mbr: the opinion of the generality is, that it is an incomplete verb [like كَانَ in the phrase كَانَ , mentioned above], and that the verb following it compose a sulstitute of implication supplying what is wanting in the two preceding portions of the sentence:- the second way of using it is, the making it to have أن the verb following this for its olject, [as in , عَسَى أَنْ يُقُومِ زَيٍْ is a complete verb: - the third and fourth and fifth are when it is followed by a simple aor.,
 or an aor. with m prefixed, or a single noun; as


 that Zeyd is standing]; the first whereof is one of which there are few exs., such as the saying,
[It may be that the state of anxiety in which thou hast become (or, as some relate it, أمُسْتْ i. e. I have become, ) is such that after it will be a near removal thereof]; and the third is one of which there are fewer exs., [and which is said in the $\mathbf{S}$ to be not allowable,] such as the saying,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { أَكْشَرْتْ فِى العَنْلِ مُلًِّا دَائهَا } \\
& \text { لَا تُكْتِرَنْ إِنَى عَسِيتُ صَـائِّا }
\end{aligned}
$$

[or, as some relate it, عَسبّت, which is more common, i. e. Thou hast been profuse in censuring, persisting constantly: be not thou profuse : verily it may be that I am, or shall be, abstaining];
 art. بأس, and of which it is said in the K that the verb therein is used in the manner of in the $\mathbf{S}$, that the phrase is extr., that is there put in the place of the predicate, and that there sometimes occurs in provs. what does not occur elsewhere], the right opinion is that يُكُونُ is suppressed before ايؤسا; and [in the latter of the two verses cited above] أَكُونُ is suppressed before $ص$; 0 ; because thus the primary usage is preserved, and because what is hoped is the person's being an abstainer, not the abstainer him-

