 , النّاسِ, (S, TA,) and Werily the assembly comprises sundry, or distinct, bodies of men ; (TA ;) or men not of one tribe. (Ș, TA.) And "أَثْشَا شَتَّى [Things of sundry, or different,
 * various, or different, in colours, tastes, \&c. (Jel.)


شَتَاتٌ and شَتَات : شَتَاتًا : see places.

> شَشٌ : :شُتَاتُ : see

تَغْرٌ رَتِيتٌ : شَتِتْ : see in four places , means [Fore teeth] separate, or wide-apart, one from another. (S. $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{A}, \mathrm{K}$.$) Tarafeh says,$

- مِنْ شَتِبت كَأَقاَح الرُّمْلِ غُرٌ
[meaning From separate fore teeth like white chamomiles of the sands: تَنْ being understood, and "غُر being for"غُرْ"]. (TA.)
, تُشَّى : in see seven places : 一 and see also the last sentence of the following paragraph.
, (K, TA, but omitted in the CK,) with damm to the ن of (TA,) [Different, or distinct, are they two: or widely different or distinct are they two: or hon very, or widely, different or distinct, are they two! lit., the union of them two is severed: or the interval betrieen them two is far-extending, or wide: or hon greatly is the union of them two severed! as will be shown below.] AZ quotes, in his "Nawádir," with in the nom. case, the following verse:

[Different, or widely different, \&c., are they two in every predicament: this fears, and this hopes, ceer]. (TA.) The mangoob form, however, is ulso employed (K, TA, but omitted in the CK) by some of the Arabs in the above-mentioned phrase, so that one says, understood, as though one saiب, [meaning, as above explained, Different, or midely different, \&c., are they two: lit., separated, or disunited, or severed, is that which is betveen them two: or far-extending, or mide, is the interval between them tro: or hov greatly separated, or severed, is the union betreen them two! ! : Ḥassán Ibn-Thábit says,

|  <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

[And different, or widely different, \&c.., are ye tno in munificence and in valour and internal state and external appearance]. (TA.) In like manner also, [but with $\mathrm{L}_{0}$,] one says, (A, Mṣb, K,) accord. to Th. (TA.) This [as also, consequently, the same phrase without $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{J}}$ ] is disallowed by As and IKit: IB, however,
says that this phrase occurs in the verses of $\mid$ says, in his Expos. of the Fs, that it is an inf. $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ chaste Arabs: for instance, Abu-l-Aswad EdDuälee says,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { * وَشَتَّانَ مَا بَيْنِى وَيْنَكَ إنِّنى } \\
& \text { عَلَى كُلِّ عَالٍ أَسْتَقِيمُوْتَظْلْعُ }
\end{aligned}
$$

[And different, or midely different, \&c., are I and thou: for I, in every case, go crect, and thou haltest]: and similar is the saying of El-Ba'eeth,

[And different, or widely different, \&c., are I and Ibn-Khálid Umeiyeh, with respect to the supplies for the wants of life that are divided among mankinā]. (TA.) One says also, شُتَّانَ
 K ;) Different, or distinct, or widely different, \&c.., are they two; and 'Amr and his brother: [lit., separate, or distinct, are they two; \&c. : or remote are they two, one from the other; \&c.: :] or how greatly, or widely, are they two separated; \&c.! (S, A, K:) here 6 is redundant; and in the former phrase, شثّان is the agent of as is the former of the two nouns, to which the latter noun is conjoined, in the latter phrase. (TA.) ElAạshà says,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { * شَتَّانَ مَا يَوْمِى عَلَى كُورِمًا }
\end{aligned}
$$

- 
- 

[Different, or widely different, \&c., are (or were) my day upon her (the camel's) saddle, and the day of Heiyán the brother of Jibir : in which, for يْرْمْ
 And in like manner, [but without $L_{0,}$ ] one says, شُتَّانَ أَغْوهُ ؤأبوهُ [Different, or widely different, * \&c., are his brother and his father]. (TA.) [See also an ex. in a verse cited voce, وَائِم, in art.] , شَّانَّ , is a preterite verbal noun, signifying , [and so expl. above,] accord. to many authorities, [including most of the grammarians,] and therefore they have made it a condition that its agent must be what denotes more than one: اششترطوا فی اشترطوا فی فعله الردّد فاعله التعدّذ, which agrees with what is afterwards said in the TA and here; though the former phrase may be so rendered as to convey essentially the same meaning: but this condition is not necessary

 [and so expl. above;] (S. A, Msb, K;) and is inflected from شَتُتُ ; (S., K ; [which is a verb not used; in the CK, incorrectly, شَتَتَ; ] the fet-hah of the $\dot{u}$ being the fet-hah originally pertaining to the [final] ت [of the verb]; and this fet-hah shows the word to be inflected from the preterite
 وَشُكَ : (S:) or, accord. to Er-Radee, it implies wonder, [like several verbs of the measure فَعْل, as shown in remarks on مُعْوَ \&c.,] and means how greatly separated, disunited, or severed, \&c.! (TA:) or, accord. to El-Marzookee and Hr and Zj and some others, it is an inf. n.: El-Marzookee
of a verb not used, [namely with fet-hah for its termination, because it is put in the place of a pret. verb, being equivalent to
 above]: and Zj says that it is an inf. n. occupying
 therefore indecl., because differing thus from others of its class: Aboo-'Othmán El-Mázinee
 whether they be substs, or occupying the place of substs. : upon which AAF observes that if be in its proper place, it is a verbal noun, meaning شُتٌ : if with tenween, it is indeterminate; if without tenween, determinate; and if translated from its office of a verbal noun, and made a subst. answering to التَّْْتُتُ , and determinate, it is

 (TA.) The نَّ نَّان (sometimes, TA) receives kesreh; ( K ;) though this is contr. to what is said by AZ and by IDrst: its being sometimes with kesreh is mentioned by Th, on the authority of Fr : and Er-Radee seems to infer that its being so was an opinion of As; and gives two reasons for his disallowal of the expression شتّان ما بين; first, because شتّان occurs with kesr to the ن ; and second, because its agent cannot be otherwise than what denotes more than one: [but see what has been observed above on this point:] IAmb says that one must not say شَتَّانِ مَا بَيْنَ أَحِيكَ وَأَبِكَ because, in this case, شتَّان [virtually] govèrns only one noun in the nom. case : but that one may

 شتَّا is a verbal noun: MF, however, observes that the Expositors of the Fs seem to say that Fr
 only mentions it as a dial. var. of شَتَّان : the following is adduced as an ex.

## - تَشَتَّنِ مَا أَنْوِى وَيْنْوِى نُو أَيْى

[Different, or midely different, \&c., are that which $I$ intend and that which the sons of my father intend ]: in which شتّان is read with both fet-hah and kesreh: and it is said in the O that شَشَّانَّنَ is a dial. var. of (TA.) - IJ mentions $\begin{gathered}\text { شَّتّى as an accidental syn. of } \\ \text {; شَّان ; and }\end{gathered}$ says that it is not the fem. of the latter: therefore the assertion of some, that it is used by poetical license in the following verse of Jemeel requires consideration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { وَشَتَّى بَيْنَ قَتِلى وَالصّلَّاِ }
\end{aligned}
$$

[ I desire to make peace nith her, but she desires to slay me: and different, or widely different, \&c., are slaying me and making peace]. (TA.)
شتر

1. شَترَ, aor. = , (Ṣ, Mṣb, K, \&c.,) inf. n. شَتُر ;

