the accus. case as an adv. n .; for, as it denotes the part of a whole, its predicament is made to be the same as that of the affixed noun, of whatever kind this may be. (Ham p. 95.) - It also has 3) prefixed to it; and thus it becomes changed in signification so as to denote numerousness, being syn. with the enunciative [How many!]; (S, K ;) or syn. with رُّ [as meaning many]: ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{M}$ :) [and sometimes it is syn. with the interrogative " $\circ$ 's, meaning how many? or how much? as will be shown below:] thus it is written , (M,) or (S, M, K,) its tenween being written $i$; (Ṣ, K ; ) and [more commonly] كَأئن, (S, M, K, [in some
 said by IJ, on the authority of Aboo-'Alee, to be formed from كَأِيّنٍ, by putting the double before the e, after the manner of the transposition in ${ }^{2}$ and a number of other words, so that it be-
 $ى$, as is donc in
 the [remaining] $v$ into 1 , as in [ ${ }^{2}$ hَ

 and it has other dial. vars.; namely كَيْْنُ [one of the intermediate forms between كَأِيّن and كَ كَ mentioned above]; (K. ; [in one copy of the K written كَيّْن , and so accord. to the TK; ;) and (M, K, ) of the measure of most probably formed by transposition from كَئى, mentioned above; ( $M$;) and $\mathbb{i}$, of the measure of
 suppression of $\mathcal{N}$ in
 You say, كَرَيَّنْ رُبلُّا لُقِيتُ [How many a man have I met!' or many a man \&cc.], (S, K,*) putting the noun following كآيّن in the accus. case as a specificative; ( $\mathbf{S} ;$ ) and
 نَّ S is more common, and better. (S. [And Sb, us cited in the M, says the like.]) You say also, [How many a man has come to me! or many a man \&c.]. (Sb, M.) And بِكَأَتِّنٍ [تَبِيعُ مَذَا اليَّوْبْ vilt thou sell this garment, or piece of cloth?]. (S.) Kh says that if any one of the Arabs made it to govern the gen. case, perhaps he did so by making $\underset{\sim}{\text { o }}$ to be implied, as is allowable with
 أْشُرَّيْتَ شُذَا For hom nany a dirhem didst thou buy this? for] it is allowable to make the noun that follows to be governed in the gen. case by or implied, when 5 immediately follows a preposition; as in مِكْرُ; but when it is not thus preceded by a preposition, the noun after it must be in the accus. case. (I'Ak p. 317.) It always holds the first place in a proposition, like ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. (Idem, next p.) - It is
also a connective of the vocative $\mathbf{L}$ with the noun signifying the person or persons or thing called, when this noun has the article ال prefixed to it; (S. M, Mughnee, $\mathbf{K}$;) and with a noun of indication, as 15 ; and with a conjunct noun having prefixed to it, as الّّذى: : (I'Ak p. 268:) it is a noun formed for serving as such a connective; ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{K}$;) and has Lio affixed to it. (S. M, \&c.) You say, يَا أيها الرَّرُلُ [which seems to be best rendered $O$ thow man; more agreeably with the original, $O$ thou, the man ; or, accord. to Akh, O thou who art the man; lit., O he who is the man; often written ئائشَا] ; (T, Ṣ, M, Mughnee,

 [ $O$ thou woman]; (S, M;) and

 [O thou, this person or thing]; and يَا أَيْهَا الَّذِى فَعَلَ كَذَا [O thou who didst, or hast done, thus]. (I 'Ak p. 267.) In the first of the exs. here given, ألى is a noun of vague signification, ( $\mathrm{Zj}, \mathbf{T}, \underset{S}{\mathbf{S}}$,) denoting the person called, ( $\mathrm{Zj}, \mathrm{T}$, ) of the sing. number, ( Zj , $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}$, ) rendered determinate hy the vocative [ي], (S.) indecl., with damm for its termination; ( Zj , T, S.;) and Lí is a particle employed to ronse attention, or to give notice, a substitute for the noun to which is in other cases prefixed; and أَيَى (Z, $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}$, ) wherefore it is in the nom. casc. (S.) Akh asserts, [as we have indicated above,] that is here the conjunct noun, and that the first member of its complement, namely the relative 'وُ, is suppressed; the meaning being, يَا مْنْ هُو الرَّجُل: but this assertion is refuted by the fact that there is no relative pronoun that must be suppressed, nor any conjunct noun that necessarily requires that its complement should be a nominal proposition: though he might reply to these two objections by
 manner [virtually] in the nom. case [as a conjunct noun syn. with الَّذِى, and that the first member of its complement, namely $\%$ ُؤ, an inchoative of which js is the enunciative, is suppressed]. (Mughnee.) The putting of the qualificative of يَا أيهَا الرَّجُلَ in the accus. case, as in the saying أَّئ [ C [ thou man, advance], is allowed ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{K}$ ) by El-Mázinee; but it is not known [as heard from the Arabs]. (M.) أيَّئهُهَ used for the purpose of particularizing; [in which case they are not preceded by L ;] as when one
 will do thus, or such a thing, thou man], meaning himself; and as in the saying of Kaab Ibn-Málik,
 remained behind, or held back, ye three], meaning, by the three, those particularized as remaining behind [with him], or holding back. (TA.)

, إيَا الشَّهُّبِ
indeterminate, perhaps (as when determinate) without tenween, for it is-explained (with its dial. vars.) in the $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ in باب الעلغ اللّينة, though it is also explained in some copies of the $\mathbf{S}$ in

 الشُهس, (T, M, Mgh, K, and in a copy of the Ṣ,) with fet-h and medd, ( $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Mgh}, \mathbf{K}$, and so in a copy of the $\mathbf{S}$, ) The light of the sun, ( $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M g h}$, $\mathbf{K}$,) and its beauty : ( $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{K}$ :) or its rays, and its light : (T :) or, as some say, اياة * الشهس signifies the halo of the sun; that, with respect to the sun, which is like the with respect to the moon;
號 [
首. (M.) Tarafeh says, (T, Ş, Mgh,) describing the fore teeth (تَغْر) of his beloved, (EM p. 62,)

## سَقْتهُ إيَاةُ ث الشَّهْسِ إلَّا لِثَاتِه

[The light of the sun has shed its lustre upon then, except their !yums]. (T, Ș, Mgh.) _ And hence, by way of comparison, (M,) إيَا النَّبَاتِ, and
 $\ddagger$ The beauty of herbaye, ( $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{K}$, ) and its blossoms, ( M, ) and lrightness, ( $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{TA}$ ) in its rerdure and growth. (TA.) = أَيَا : أَيَا إيَاهُ أَقْبِلْ in art. ايا.


آيَةُ , q. v. (T.)

إيَّا: ايا : see art. [Az says,] I have not heard any derivation of إِّيا, but I think, without being certain, that it is from تَآيَّيتُهُ as explained above; as though it were a noun from that verb, of the
 the meaning of is $\bar{I}$ dircet myself, or my aim, to, or towards, thee, and thy person. (T.)
 respecting his ${ }^{\text {S. }}$ [i. e. district, or city, or town], you say, íne [The person of what district, \&c., art thou?]; like as you say, in asking him respecting his قَبيلَ [or tribe], ألْمْنَى [from
 what district, \&e., art thou ?]; and مَنَّ أَنْتَ.

أَيَّنَا: Lee art. Lth says that it is used in the manner of مَتَّ; [signifying When?]; and that some say its $\dot{j}$ is radical; others, that it is augmentative: ( $T$ :) IJ says, it must be from ${ }^{2}$, not from أَأْنَ denotes place; and أَيَّن, time: and secondly, because nouns of the measure فَعَّال are few; and those of the measure فَعْلَنْ, many : so that if you

