 إى وْرّپِى [And they nill ask thee to inform them, saying, Is it true? Say, Yea, by my Lord!]: but accord. to all, it does not occur otherwise than before an oath: and when one says, إى وَألنِ [Yea, by God!], and then drops the, , the may be quiescent, and with fet-h, and elided; [so
 the first of which cases, two quiescent letters occur together, irregularly. (Mughnce.) Lth says, إِّ is an oath, as in وإِّى Zj, نَعْمٌ وَرْبّى : IAasr is also related to have said the like; and this is the correct explanation. (T.) [J says,] It is a word preceding an oath, meaning
 [ISd and $F$ say,] It is syn. with $ن$ نَعْر, and is conjoined with an oath: and one says also میى . (M, K.)

St is a noun, used in five different manners. (Mughnee.) One of its meanings is that of an interrogative, (T, Ş, M, Mughnee, K, ) relating to intellectual beings and to non-intellectual things; [meaning Who ? which ? and what?] (Ṣ, M, K;) and as such, it is a decl. noun: ( $S:$ ) it is said in the K to be a particle ; (MF;) and so in the M; (TA;) but this is wrong: (MF:) and it is added in the K that it is indecl. ; (MF;) and it is said to be so in the M , accord. to Sb , in an instance to be explained below ; (TA ;) but this is only when it is a conjunct noun [like الَّذِى], or denotes the object of a vocative: (MF:) or, accord. to some, it is decl. as a conjunct noun also. (Mughnee.) You say, أُهرة أَسُوكَ [Who, or which, of them, is thy brother?]. (S.) Another ex. is the saying [in the Kur vii. 184, and last verse of lxxvii.], فَبأَيِ شِديث بَعْدَهُ يُوْمِنُونَ [And in what announcement, after it, will they believe?]. (Mughnee.) Sometimes it is without teshdeed; as in the saying (of El-Farezdak, M),

## -

[I looked for rain, or aid from the clouds, and the two Simáks (stars so called). Of which of them two did the rains pour vehemently upon me from the clouds?]: (M, Mughnee, K :* [in the last of which, only the former hemistich is given, with نَسْرً (meaning the star or asterism so called) instead of ${ }^{\text {: }:] \text { ) }}$ ) so by poetic licence: ( M :) IJ says that for this reason the poet has elided the second $\mathcal{\cup}$, but should have restored the first , to because it is originally و. (TA. [But this assertion, respecting the first $\mathcal{N}$, I regard as improbable.]) أَئر also, is a contraction of أَيُّ مَا [What thing is it, $O$ such a one?]: and أيمرْ تَقُولُ [What thing sayest thou ?]. (TA in art. أيرل) In like manner, also, أيمَ is used as a contraction of (Ks, TA in art. pe.) A poet speaks of his companions as being ; making the name of the quarter (a-ب) ; so that, being determinate and of the feminine gender, it is imperfectly declinable. (M.
[See أيْن: are given; and where it is said that the verse in which this occurs is by Homeyd Ibn-Thowr.]) أى it is prefixed, except in a vocative expression and when it is made to conform with a word to which it refers, as in cases to be exemplified hereafter. (Mughnee.) Being so prefixed, it is determinate; but sometimes, [as in the latter of the cases just mentioned,] it is not so prefixed, yet has the meaning of a prefixed noun. (S.) When. used as an interrogative, it is not governed, as to the letter, though it is as to the meaning, by the verb that precedes it, but by what follows it; as in the
 أُمصى [That we might know which of the tvo parties was able to compute]; and in the same
 يَنْقِلْونَ [And they who have acted wróngly shall know with what a translating they shall be translated]: ( $\mathrm{Fr},{ }^{*} \mathrm{Th}, \mathrm{Mbr}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}:^{*}$ ) when it is governed by the verb hefore it, it has not the interrogative meaning, as will be shown hercafter. (Fr, T.) In the saying of the poct,

[Haneefeh (the tribe so named) shout to us when they see us. And to what place of the earth, or land, will they go for the shouting?], ألى is in the accus. case because the prep. إلى is suppressed before it. (S.) When they separate it [from what follows it, not prefixing it to another noun], the Arabs say ${ }^{3}$, أيَّانِ pl. أئونَ; and they make it fem., saying and [in the dual] أُيتَّانِ when they prefix it to a noun, properly so called, not a pronoun, they make it sing. and masc., saying أَلُّ الرَّبْلَيْنِ [Who, or which, of the tro men?], and أَى الهُرْأتَيْنِ [1Who, or which, of the two women?], and أَىُّ الرَّجَالِّ [Who, or which, of the men?], and أَىَّ النِّنَّأِّ [Who, or which, of the women?]: and when they prefix it to a fem. pronoun, they make it masc. [as when they prefix it to a masc. pronoun] and fem., saying and [Who, or which, of them two?], meaning women; ( $\mathrm{Fr}, \mathrm{T}$;) [the latter of which seems to be the more common; for ISd says,] sometimes they said أيهّن [Who, or which, of them? referring

 [And a person knoweth́ not in what land he vill die]: (Ṣ:) but some read بِائية أَرٍْ ; and
 When it is used as an interrogative relating to an indeterminate noun in a preceding phrase, أَى is made to conform with that indeterminate noun in case-ending and in gender and in number; and this is done [alike, accord. to some,] in the case of its connexion with a following word and in the case of a pause; so that, [in the case of a pause,] to him who says, جمأتنى رجّلُ [A man came to
me], yon say, [accord. to the authorities alluded to


 [Whom?]: and in like manner, [accoid. to all authorities,] in the case of its connexion with a
 man?], and أيَّا يَا فَتْي [Whom, O young man?], and أَيْ يَا فَتَى [Whom, O young man?]: and in أَيَّة [in the nom. and accus. and gen. respectively];
 [masc. and fem. respectively], and أيتَتَنْ in the accus. and gen. cases [masc. and fem. respectively]; and in the pl., [with the like distinction of genders,] أَئونَ in in the nom. case, and أَيَّاتِ أَيّن ind in the accus. and gen. cascs. (I 'Ak p. 319.) [Exs. in cases of pause, agreeing with the foregoing rules, are given in the $\mathbf{T}$; and exs. in cases of connexion with following words, agreeing with the foregoing, are given in the Mughnec: but J gives rules differing from the foregoing in some respects; and IB gives rules differing in some points both from the foregoing and from those of J.] It is said in the nouns significant of intellectual beings and of nonintellectual things, and is used as an interrogative; and when it is thus used in reference to an indeterminate noun, you make it to have a cascending like that of the noun respecting which it demands positive information; so that when
 me], you say, أَى يَا فَتَى [Who, O young man?], thus giving it a casc-ending [like that of رُبُل"] when it is in connexion with a following word; and you indicate the case-ending [by the pronunciation termed الرَّرْرُ, saying أَّى , with a somewhat obscure utterance of the final vowel,] in pausing; and if one says, رأيْتُ رَبُلْ [I saw a man], you say, أيكَ يَا فَتَى [Whom, O young man ?], giving it a case-ending [like that of رُبُلًّل], with tenween, when it is [thus] in connexion with a following word; and you pause upon the 1 , saying أَيَّا; passed by a man], you say, آَيَ يَا نَتَى [Whom, $O$ young man? in a case of conncxion with a following word; and أَيْي in a case of pausing]: you conform with what the other has said, in the nom. and accus. and gen. cases, in the case of connexion with a following word and in that of pausing: but IB says that this is correct only in the case of connexion with a following word; for in the case of a pause, you say only in the nom. and gen., with sukoon; and you imitate in both of these cases only when you use the dual form or the pl.: it is added in the $\mathbf{S}$, you say in the cases of the dual and pl. and fem. like as we have said respecting مُن: when one says, بَاءْنِى رِبَانٍ [Men came to me], you say, أَيِينْ أيُونُ in the accus. and gen.: but IB says, the correct

